











Ethnocentrism: a human reflex

- We all have bling spots: it is normal
 - Discomfort is also normal, it is important to take a look at it: if you feel the discomfort, there is a good chance that the person sitting in front of you also feels and experiences it.
- Working in an intercultural and collaborative context can cause discomfort or tension due to the fact that these encounters bring out the different personal, professional and institutional ideologies that surround the clinical practice.
- Working in a (post)colonial context can also bring out power relationships (historical and current) between groups to which one belongs: it is difficult to be in the position of the agressor, especially when what one want is to take care.
- It is possible to observe the taboo surronding the idea of naming differences between the mainstream group and the multiple minority groups.
- Do not get stuck with the discomfort: What creates it? What can I do about it?













Conceptual definitions: colonialism and coloniality

Colonialism :

In its early days, colonialism was the product of systematic repression, specific beliefs, ideas, images, symbols or knowledge that were not conducive to global colonial domination. Colonialism had an aim of resource production or appropriation.

Coloniality:

These are the means put in place to perpetuate colonialism. Repression is exercised on the modes of knowledge, the production of knowledge, the production of perspectives, images and systems of images, symbols, modes of signification, on the resources, motives and instruments of formal and objective, intellectual or visual expression.

(Cloos, 2015; Quijano, 2007; Trout & al., 2018













Reflexivity: a needed tool for the clinician

Reflexivity is a continuous process. It is the active analysis of how our position as clinicians and dominant ideologies shape our decisions, relationships and interpretations of the world, rather than a static and formal statement of who we are or what we believe.

« A reflexive researcher actively adopts a theory of knowledge. A less reflexive researcher implicitly adopts a theory of knowledge, as it is impossible to engage in knowledge creation without at least tacit assumptions about what knowledge is and how it is constructed » (Carter & Little, 2007).

(Brown & Strega, 2015).



Some tools

- The DSM V **Cultural Formulation Interview** (CFI) is designed to assist practitioners in the collection and organization of culturally relevant clinical information. The open-ended formulation of questions can be inspiring for addressing cultural elements that may influence clinical follow-up (values, religion, family relationships, life stages, etc.).
- The **Culturagram** is a tool for cultural and migratory exploration: it allows a better understanding of the socio-cultural context of an individual or family (Congress and Kung, 2013). It highlights the cultural challenges they face and the ways in which they resist the various forms of oppression they experience (Richardson and Wade, 2016).

To remember:

- These tools were not built specifically for indigenous peoples, but they can be adapted on a caseby-case basis.
- To use these tools, prerequisites are necessary, namely an intervention context imbued with a set of skills and attitudes such as respect, open-mindedness, flexibility, a willingness to get to know others (and to respect their silences and secret gardens), and awareness of and distance from their culture (Gauvin and Laforge, 2016).



Bibliography

- Adelson, N. (2005). The embodiment of inequity: Health disparities in Aboriginal Canada. Canadian journal of public health, 96(2), S45-S61.
- Akintunde, O. (1999). White racism, white supremacy, white privilege, & the social construction of race: Moving from modernist to postmodernist multiculturalism. Multicultural Education, 7(2), 2.
- Alegria, M., Atkins, M., Farmer, E., Slaton, E., & Stelk, W. (2010). One size does not fit all: taking diversity, culture and context seriously. Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research, 37(1-2), 48-60.
- Brown, L. A., & Strega, S. (Eds.). (2015). Research as resistance: Critical, indigenous and anti-oppressive approaches. Canadian Scholars' Press.
- Cauce, A. M., Domenech-Rodríguez, M., Paradise, M., Cochran, B. N., Shea, J. M., Srebnik, D., & Baydar, N. (2002). Cultural and contextual influences in mental health help seeking: a focus on ethnic minority youth. Journal of consulting and clinical psychology, 70(1), 44.
- · Cloos, P. (2011). Racialization, between power and knowledge: a postcolonial reading of public health as a discursive practice. Journal of Critical Race Inquiry, 1(2).
- Cloos, P. (2015). The racialization of US public health: a paradox of the modern state. Cultural Studies? Critical Methodologies, 15(5), 379-386.
- Cohen-Emerique, M. (1993). L'approche interculturelle dans le processus d'aide. Santé mentale au Québec, 18(1), 71-91.
- Fraser, S-L & Gaulin, D. Colonialism, epistemic racism, and health and social services: a case study in Northern, Canada. International Journal of Equity in Health
- Hunter, M. (2002). Rethinking epistemology, methodology, and racism: or, is White sociology really dead?. Race and Society, 5(2), 119-138.
- Hwang, W. C., Myers, H. F., Abe-Kim, J., & Ting, J. Y. (2008). A conceptual paradigm for understanding culture's impact on mental health: The cultural influences on mental health (CIMH) model. *Clinical psychology review*, 28(2), 211-227.
- Johnson-Lafleur, J., Nadeau, L., & Rousseau, C. (under review). Intercultural Training in Tense Times: Cultural Identities and Lived Experiences within a Community of Practice of Youth Mental Health Care in Montréal.
- Johnson-Lafleur, J., Papazian-Zohrabian, G., & Rousseau, C. (2019). Learning from partnership tensions in transcultural interdisciplinary case discussion seminars: A qualitative study of collaborative youth mental health care informed by game theory. Social Science & Medicine, 237, 112443.
- Kirmayer, L. J. (2006). Beyond the 'new cross-cultural psychiatry': Cultural biology, discursive psychology and the ironies of globalization. Transcultural psychiatry, 43(1), 126-144.
- Kirmayer, L. J., Dandeneau, S., Marshall, E., Phillips, M. K., & Williamson, K. J. (2011). Rethinking resilience from indigenous perspectives. The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 56(2), 84-91.
- Kirmayer, L. J. (2012). Rethinking cultural competence. Transcultural Psychiatry, 49(2), 149–164.

Kleinman, A. (1987). Anthropology and psychiatry: The role of culture in cross-cultural research on illness. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 151(4), 447-454



